Preprint typeset in JHEP style - HYPER VERSION hep-th/0703283

arXiv:hep-th/0703283v2 5 Nov 2007

Dynamical vs. Auxiliary Fields in Gravitational Waves around a Black Hole

Vadim Asnin and Barak Kol

Racah Institute of Physics
Hebrew University
Jerusalem 91904, Israel
E-mail: vadima@pob.huji.ac.il, barak_kol@phys.huji.ac.il

Abstract: The auxiliary/dynamic decoupling method of hep-th/0609001 applies to perturbations of any co-homogeneity 1 background (such as a spherically symmetric space-time or a homogeneous cosmology). Here it is applied to compute the perturbations around a Schwarzschild black hole in an arbitrary dimension. The method provides a clear insight for the existence of master equations. The computation is straightforward, coincides with previous results of Regge-Wheeler, Zerilli and Kodama-Ishibashi but does not require any ingenuity in either the definition of variables or in fixing the gauge. We note that the method's emergent master fields are canonically conjugate to the standard ones. In addition, our action approach yields the auxiliary sectors.

Contents

1. Introduction and Summary **1**

2. Review of the auxiliary/dynamic decoupling method **3**

3. The 1d quadratic action **5**

4. Decoupling each sector **8**
- 4.1 Tensor sector 8
- 4.2 Vector sector 9
- 4.3 Scalar sector 12

5. Summary of results **15**

A. Derivation of the one-dimensional action **18**

B. Auxiliary fields in the scalar sector **19**

C. Multidimensional spherical harmonics **22**

1. Introduction and Summary

Recently an improved understanding of perturbations around backgrounds with (at most) one non-homogeneous coordinate ("co-homogeneity 1") was achieved [1]. Two cases of physical interest fall under the title of "co-homogeneity 1 backgrounds": static spherically-symmetric space-times and homogeneous cosmologies. In this paper we apply the method to the Schwarzschild black hole in an arbitrary dimension, re-deriving the master equations for the perturbation spectrum and wave profiles while obtaining new insights to be mentioned below.

The method of [1] includes the method known as "gauge invariant perturbation theory" together with an explicit treatment of the auxiliary sector hence we refer to it as the "*auxiliary/dynamic decoupling method*". In addition it was proven in greater generality, demonstrating that the essential condition is the dimension of co-homogeneity (1d).

Before discussing the specifics of black hole perturbations let us recall the basic ingredients of the general theorem [1]. By performing dimensional reduction over the homogeneous coordinates we may consider the problem to be essentially 1d. It is found that a 1d action with n_F fields invariant under n_G gauge functions can be decoupled into two sectors, auxiliary and dynamic, where the auxiliary sector is purely algebraic as its name suggests and all fields in both sectors are gauge invariant. The auxiliary sector consists of n_G fields

which are nothing but the (shifted) metric and vector fields from the 1d perspective, and hence both have formally minus one degrees of freedom. The dynamic sector contains $n_F - 2\,n_G$ fields which remain after the gauge functions are eliminated from the $n_F - n_G$ non-auxiliary fields. All field redefinitions are local in 1d and invertible.

In addition to the decoupling between auxiliary and dynamic fields there is another useful decoupling mechanism. From the 1d perspective the isometry group becomes the theory's gauge group under which the fields are charged. Therefore, the quadratic action decouples according to representations of this group.

Chronologically, the general theorem of [1] followed by generalizing "the" derivation of the Gregory-Laflamme mode [2] (see [3, 4] for reviews of the black-hole black-string transition as well as [5]).

Let us return to discuss the specific case of perturbations around Schwarzschild. Of course, the results for this case were already known. The 4d case is by now classic: the odd (vector) sector reduces to the Regge-Wheeler master equation [6] while the even (scalar) sector reduces to the somewhat more cumbersome Zerilli master equation [7]. The higher dimensional generalization however, is relatively recent, and was achieved by Kodama and Ishibashi [8] (see also [9] for the master equation of static perturbations through a different method, and [10] for an application to a stability analysis of higher dimensional Schwarzschild).

Our method reproduces and confirms these results while adding the form of the auxiliary sectors and providing the advantages of a different point of view (somewhat in analogy to Moncrief's method [11] in 4d, see also [12, 13] for some recent discussions of perturbations of the Schwarzschild black hole in the gauge-invariant formalism). The method has the advantage of being practically straightforward. We use an action approach and simplify it in a natural way. We explain why the equations in each sector can be reduced to a single master equation (as anticipated in [1]). Moreover, there is no need for ingenious field redefinitions as in [8] nor is there a need for choosing a gauge-fix as in [6]. In addition our treatment is independent of the choice of coordinates for the background Schwarzschild space-time.

While our method identifies the space of dynamic fields, it only fixes the definition of the dynamic fields up to a linear[1], radially-dependent canonical transformation. It is found that the action is considerably simpler for some choices, and the standard master fields of Regge-Wheeler, Zerilli and Kodama-Ishibashi are essentially canonically conjugate to the ones which naturally emerge from our method. The standard master fields have the additional property that their r and t derivatives combine in the master equation to the form of a Laplacian in the reduced 2d (r, t) space. This property comes as a surprise from the current 1d perspective which concentrates on the r coordinate, and to gain insight into it, it is probably necessary to study the properties of perturbations on co-homogeneity 2 spaces. Another open question from our perspective is whether the standard form of the equations is in some sense optimal or whether further simplification is possible, especially

[1] To preserve the linearity of the equations of motion.

in the scalar potential which is quite involved.

We start in section 2 with a review of the auxiliary/dynamic decoupling method. In section 3 we derive the quadratic Lagrangian for the perturbations. While the derivation of this Lagrangian is completely straightforward, it is quite lengthy, and perhaps there is room to make it more economical. Due to the decoupling of representations at quadratic order (mentioned above) the Lagrangian decouples to three sectors known as tensor, vector and scalar, and which reduce in the 4d case to the even and odd sectors (the tensor sector degenerates).

In section 4 we proceed to apply the method to this Lagrangian. The tensor sector has one field and no gauge functions ($n_F = 1$ $n_G = 0$) and hence requires no treatment. The vector sector has $n_F = 3$ $n_G = 1$ and since $3 - 2 * 1 = 1$ we immediately expect a single dynamic field, and hence a decoupled equation of motion, namely a master equation. Indeed our straightforward manipulation results in the dynamic and auxiliary sectors of the action. The dynamic sector encodes a master equation which is shown to be equivalent to the Kodama-Ishibashi equation (or Regge-Wheeler in 4d). The scalar sector is more involved: it has $n_F = 7$ $n_G = 3$ but as $7 - 2 * 3 = 1$ there is still a single master equation. Again we follow our method to derive the auxiliary sector together with a master equation and the latter is confirmed to be equivalent to the Kodama-Ishibashi equation (Zerilli's in 4d). Our main results are summarized in section 5.

It would be interesting to apply the auxiliary/dynamic decoupling method to other space-times including perturbations around the critical merger solution [14].

2. Review of the auxiliary/dynamic decoupling method

Consider perturbations around a space-time X which is of co-homogeneity 1, namely that all but one of its coordinates (denoted by r) [2] belong to a homogeneous space. One starts by effectively reducing the problem to a 1d problem on r as follows. The metric perturbation tensor $h_{\mu\nu}$ decomposes into several fields according to whether μ is r or belongs to the homogeneous space. Furthermore, each component of $h_{\mu\nu}$ can be expanded into harmonic functions of the homogeneous space in order to achieve a separation of the variables. For instance, for the time translation symmetry $U(1)_t$ we expand in $\exp(i\omega\, t)$, while for the spherical symmetry $SO(D-1)_\Omega$ we expand in spherical harmonics (of the appropriate tensor type).

Altogether the action reduces to a 1d action in r, with a certain field content

$$\phi = \phi^i(r) \quad i = 1, \ldots, n_F \tag{2.1}$$

where n_F is the number of (real) fields. Actually the field content necessarily includes the 1d metric h_{rr} as well as massless vectors which originate from the isometry group of X and become the gauge symmetry in 1d. Hence the dimensionally reduced theory is actually a *1d*

[2] In [1] the essential coordinate was denoted by x, but here since we specialize to the spherically symmetric case we denote it by r. Some additional notation which differs between this paper and [1] is: "DG" which stood for "derivatively-coupled" sector was exchanged for "A" which stands for "auxiliary" or "algebraic", and "X" which stood for the dynamic sector was traded with "Dyn".

gauged-gravity theory with additional matter content (which generically includes r-scalars as well as massive r-vectors and r-tensors).

The invariance of X under infinitesimal diffeomorphisms ξ^μ translates into invariance of the 1d action under certain gauge functions, whose number we denote by n_G, as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\phi &= G_1\,\xi' + G_0\,\xi \\ \xi &= \xi^a(r) \qquad a = 1,\ldots,n_G \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $(G_1(r),\, G_0(r))$ are a pair $n_F \times n_G$ r-dependent matrices.

The action is quadratic in ϕ since we are interested only in linear perturbations, and we furthermore assume it to be quadratic in derivatives as is the case for classical GR.

The standard counting of equations proceeds as follows. One uses the n_G gauge functions to set n_G gauge-fixings relations over the fields, possibly eliminating n_G fields. The gauge-fixing is accompanied by n_G constraint equations which are usually of lower order in derivatives as well as $n_f - n_G$ other equations. [1] proved that under generic conditions [3] it is always possible in 1d to completely eliminate the gauge through a transformation of the fields which is local (in r) and invertible, and that the action decouples into an *auxiliary sector* consisting of n_G fields with an algebraic action and a *dynamic sector* consisting of

$$n_F - 2\,n_G \tag{2.3}$$

fields (generically second order in derivatives). Comparing to the standard counting we find that *in 1d it is always possible to have algebraic equations for both the n_G gauge-fixing relations as well for n_G of the equations of motion.*

As the full proof is given in [1], we choose to limit ourselves to a description of the key mechanisms at work. The essential idea is a sort of *"derivative counting"* applied to the equation which states that the equations of motion (EOM) are satisfied for a pure-gauge field configuration. We define "derivatively gauged" fields or "A-fields"[2] to be the image of G_1 (2.2). Physically, these are nothing but the r-tensors and r-vectors. The term with the highest number of derivatives (3) originates from the second-derivative terms in the EOM applied to the A-fields. Since this is the only term at that order we find that it must vanish, namely that the A-fields do not appear in action terms with two derivatives. Moreover, by considering a similar expansion of the second variation of the action we find that action terms in which only A-field appear are purely algebraic thus justifying the name "auxiliary sector". The relevant algebraic matrix is denoted by L_A. In the generic case that L_A is non-degenerate we may "complete the square" thereby decoupling the A-fields from the rest of the action after a suitable shift in their definition.

Then we inspect the action of the gauge transformation on the new fields. The algebraic nature of the action in the auxiliary sector means that no symmetry is possible in that sector and hence no gauge invariance. By definition, the non-auxiliary fields are gauged only algebraically (ξ' does not appear in $\delta\phi$) which means that the gauge can be completely

[3] Namely, that G_1 is non-degenerate, as well as G_X and $L_{DG} \equiv L_A$ which are respectively the gauge transformation when restricted to the non-auxiliary sector and the (algebraic) action of the auxiliary sector, both as defined in [1].

eliminated by an algebraic re-definition of fields. Thereby $n_F - 2\,n_G$ fields are present in the other, dynamic sector, and completing the general description of the method.

3. The 1d quadratic action

We consider gravitational waves in the Schwarzschild background in an arbitrary space-time dimension D. The isometry group of this space-time is $O(D-1)_\Omega \times U(1)_t \times \mathbb{Z}_{2,T}$, where $O(D-1)_\Omega$ is the spherical symmetry, $U(1)_t$ is time translation and $\mathbb{Z}_{2,T}$ is time reversal. These isometries allow the use of the following "maximally general ansatz", namely an ansatz such that all of Einstein's equations can be derived from the variation of the gravitational action with respect to its fields

$$ds_0^2 = e^{2a(r)}\,dt^2 + e^{2b(r)}\,dr^2 + e^{2c(r)}\,d\Omega_{D-2}^2\ , \tag{3.1}$$

where $d\Omega_{D-2}^2$ is the standard metric on the $D-2$ sphere. The standard Schwarzschild coordinates are

$$e^{2a} = f(r) \equiv 1 - (r_0/r)^{D-3}\ , \qquad e^{2b} = f^{-1}, \qquad e^{2c} = r^2\ , \tag{3.2}$$

but we may consider other coordinate systems (namely the choice of r) such as in [15], and for generality we shall not restrict to any specific choice. A general transformation from Schwarzschild coordinates shows that for any choice of coordinate we have

$$c' = e^{a+b-c}\ , \qquad a' = -(D-3)e^{b-c}\sinh a\ , \tag{3.3}$$

where prime means a derivative w.r.t. r.

The metric in the gravitational wave is $g_{\mu\nu} = g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$, where $g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}$ stands for the background metric and $h_{\mu\nu}$ is a perturbation. In order to write down the action that describes gravitational waves in a given background to linear order it is convenient to define the following tensors:

$$\hbar_{\mu\nu} := h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}h^\lambda_\lambda\ , \qquad \hbar := \hbar^\mu_\mu\ , \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\gamma^\lambda_{\ \mu\nu} := \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_\mu\hbar^\lambda_\nu + \nabla_\nu\hbar^\lambda_\mu - \nabla^\lambda\hbar_{\mu\nu})\ , \tag{3.5}$$

which is similar to the linearized part of the Christoffel symbol only with $h \to \hbar$. Using these definitions the quadratic action can be compactly written as

$$S = \int d^Dx\sqrt{g}\left(2\,\gamma^\lambda_{\ \mu\nu}\,\gamma^{\mu\nu}_{\ \ \lambda} + \frac{1}{2(D-2)}\nabla_\mu\hbar\nabla^\mu\hbar\right)\ . \tag{3.6}$$

The gauge invariance of the full Einstein-Hilbert action has two consequences for the quadratic action: it is invariant both under a change of background coordinates (since it is manifestly invariant under local Lorentz transformations) and under the following gauge symmetry acting on the fields $h_{\mu\nu}$

$$\delta h_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu\xi_\nu + \nabla_\nu\xi_\mu, \tag{3.7}$$

where ξ_μ is an arbitrary vector field. These two symmetries can be understood in a following way: as the components of the metric in the Einstein-Hilbert action are expanded around the background, also the gauge functions should be expanded; an invariance under reparameterizations appears in the zeroth order and the invariance (3.7) appears in the first order.

In this section we outline the derivation of an action in the radial direction, via a dimensional reduction of (3.6), and the details of the derivation are presented in Appendix A. We distinguish the components of the metric perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$ according to their tensor type, both with respect to r and with respect to the angular coordinates. Namely, in the radial direction h_{rr} is an r-tensor, h_{rt} and h_{ri} (we denote by Latin indices the angular coordinates) are r-vectors, and the rest are r-scalars. Similarly on the sphere h_{tt}, h_{tr} and h_{rr} are Ω-scalar-fields, h_{ti} and h_{ri} are Ω-vectors-fields and h_{ij} form an Ω-tensor-field.

As usual during dimensional reduction we expand each field into harmonic functions with respect to the isometry group. Actually the isometry group becomes the gauge group from the 1d (radial) point of view, and each field is labeled by its representation under this group. For the spherical coordinates we need the scalar, vector and symmetric tensor spherical harmonics. The properties of these harmonics and the conventions used here are discussed in appendix C. As discussed there, a spherical harmonic of a given type is labeled by a non-negative integer number l that determines the Dynkin indices $[l_1, ...l_n]$ of the irreducible representation of $SO(D-1)$, and individual functions within the representation are further labeled by $m_1, ...m_n$ which we denote collectively by m. There is a single family of scalar spherical harmonics, namely Y^S_{lm} belonging to the representation $[l, 0...0]$, two families of vector spherical harmonics, namely $Y^S_{i,\,lm}$ in the representation $[l, 0...0]$ and $Y^V_{i,\,lm}$ in $[l, 1, 0...0]$, and 4 families of tensor spherical harmonics: $Y^S_{ij,\,lm}$ and $\tilde{Y}^S_{ij,\,lm}$ in $[l, 0...0]$, $Y^V_{ij,\,lm}$ in $[l, 1, 0...0]$ and $Y^T_{ij,\,lm}$ in $[l, 2, 0...0]$. The superscripts S, V, and T stand respectively for scalar, vector and tensor and denote the three relevant families of irreps $[l, 0...0]$, $[l, 1, 0...0]$ and $[l, 2, 0...0]$, according to the lowest spin field for which the specific irrep family appears. Thus we should distinguish between two angular tensor types. The first tensor-type is with respect to local diffeomorpshims under $GL(D-1)$ is denoted, as was mentioned above, by Ω-scalar -field, Ω-vector-field etc. The second tensor type is with respect to the $SO(D-1)$ global isometries and will be denoted by S, V and T as above. From hereon we shall mostly refer to the latter tensor type (which specifies the representations of fields under the gauge group from the 1d perspective), and when there is a possibility of confusion we shall call such types $SO(D-1)$-scalars, vectors or tensors.

Dimensional reduction with respect to t means a Fourier expansion. Altogether the expansion is

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{tt} &= \int d\omega \sum_{l,m_1...m_n} h^S_{tt,l,m_1...m_n}\, Y^S_{l,m_1...m_n}\, e^{i\omega t}, \\
h_{tr} &= h^S_{tr}\, Y^S e^{i\omega t}\ , \qquad h_{rr} = h^S_{rr}\, Y^S e^{i\omega t}\ , \\
h_{ti} &= \left(h^S_t\, Y^S_i + h^V_t\, Y^V_i\right) e^{i\omega t}\ , \qquad h_{ri} = \left(h^S_r\, Y^S_i + h^V_t\, Y^V_i\right) e^{i\omega t}\ , \\
h_{ij} &= \left(h^S\, Y^S_{ij} + \tilde{h}^S \tilde{Y}^S_{ij} + h^V\, Y^V_{ij} + h^T\, Y^T_{ij}\right) e^{i\omega t}\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.8}
$$

where only the first equation shows explicitly the summation over representations l, over the indices $m \equiv m_1 ... m_n$ and the ω integration, and it should be understood to be implicit in all the other equations as well as those to follow.

The notation for the coefficients here is the following: The superscripts denote the $SO(D-1)$ tensor-type (or irrep family) of the corresponding spherical harmonic, and the subscripts give the non-angular indices of $h_{\mu\nu}$.

The gauge variations of $h_{\mu\nu}$ (3.7) allow one to calculate the gauge variations of the coefficients in these expansions if one expands also the components of the vector ξ_μ into the spherical harmonics and Fourier expands in time:

$$\xi_t = \xi_t^S \, Y^S \, e^{i\omega t}, \qquad \xi_r = \xi_r^S \, Y^S e^{i\omega t}, \qquad \xi_i = (\xi^S \, Y_i^S + \xi^V \, Y_i^V) \, e^{i\omega t}, \tag{3.9}$$

where the notation is similar to (3.8). We shall spell out the gauge variation of the fields after dimensional reduction in the next section.

The next step is to plug the expansions (3.8) into the action and calculate the angular integrals (all necessary formulae are given in Appendix C). In the next section we present the resulting action sector by sector in (4.1, 4.4, 4.7, 4.31) and proceed to further analyze and decouple it.

4d

Our above-mentioned considerations do not apply directly to 4d since the rank of $O(3)$, the rotational symmetry group, is smaller than 2 and the above field-theoretic definitions of the vector and tensor families become meaningless since they are defined through the Dynkin indices $[l, 1, 0 \dots 0]$ and $[l, 2, 0 \dots 0]$. However, since the decoupling into sectors relies mostly on the orthogonality relations of the spherical harmonics, the decoupling continues to hold in 4d, though with some changes: *the scalar sector becomes the even sector, the vector sector becomes the odd sector and the tensor sector disappears*, as we now proceed explain. Moreover, we find that the final form of the decoupled action in 4d can be obtained simply by setting $D = 4$ in the expressions we have for an arbitrary D.

One change is that in 4d there is no tensor sector. To understand that we note that on $\mathbf{S}^2$ the metric has only 3 components, and therefore the general decomposition of the metric into 4 components has to degenerate, and it turns out that the tensor component is the one that vanishes identically.

The scalar and vector sectors still exist, but their field-theoretic definition is different. The group of isometries $O(3)$ includes also a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ of reflections in its center. Therefore any representation can be classified as either even or odd, and the quadratic action must decouple into these two sectors. Actually we assign a parity to each field, according to the parity of its $l = 0$ component, and for higher l the parity gets multiplied by $(-)^l$. In this way the fields h_{tt}^S, h_{tr}^S, h_{rr}^S, h_t^S, h_r^S, h^S and $\tilde{h}^S$ are found to have even parity, whereas h_t^V, h_r^V and h^V are odd. Equivalently, the scalar sector becomes the even sector in 4d and the vector sector becomes the odd sector.

The case of $5d$ is also special from the group theoretical point of view since $SO(4)$ decomposes into $SU(2) \times SU(2)$. However, in this case no sector degenerates, and our general

theory continues to hold, since the rank is 2, and due to the reliance on the orthogonality relations.

4. Decoupling each sector

The quadratic action decouples into three parts: scalar, vector and tensor

$$S = S^S + S^V + S^T \ , \tag{4.1}$$

due to the orthogonality property of the spherical harmonics. In this section we shall further decouple each one of the sectors separately.

In each sector the general formula for counting dynamic fields (2.3) leads us to expect a single dynamic field, which is called "the master field". This master field is unique up to an r-dependent factor, which we attempt to choose so as to simplify the action, but we do not pretend to optimize this choice here. Moreover, one is allowed to use canonical transformations which are non-Lagrangian (namely, the new field is a function not only of the old field, but also of its momentum). And indeed, we find that in order to obtain the standard form of the master equations we need to transform to a new field which is essentially the momentum conjugate to our original field.

Another general feature is the r-tensor-type. All master fields are r-scalars, while the auxiliary fields are r-vectors and r-tensors. As usual, local Lorentz invariance in 1d determines the required power of $g^{rr} = e^{-2b}$ for each term, so we should be able to present the action such that b does not show up explicitly. To this end we use the following standard notation for derivatives and the integration measure

$$\partial^r \equiv g^{rr}\,\partial_r = e^{-2b}\,\partial_r \qquad ds := e^b\,dr \ . \tag{4.2}$$

Finally, all fields are of a definite length dimension. The dimensions of r, ω are $1, -1$ respectively, while the Lagrangian has dimension -2 as a curvature. The dimensions of the metric functions and fields are

e^{2a}	e^{2b}	e^{2c}	h^S_{tt}	h^S_{tr}	h^S_{rr}	h^S_t	h^V_t	h^S_r	h^V_r	h^S	$\tilde{h}^S$	h^V	h^T
0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2

One can easily check that our Lagrangian summarized in (4.4), (4.7) and (4.31) is of dimension -2.

4.1 Tensor sector

There is only one field in the tensor sector, namely h^T. Naturally, it is gauge-invariant. The action simplifies by the following field redefinition

$$\phi^T := e^{-2c}\,h^T \ , \tag{4.3}$$

which is nothing but the divergenceless part of the mixed index components h^i_j. In terms of ϕ^T the tensor action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S^T &= \int e^{a+(D-2)c}\, ds\, \mathcal{L}^T \\ \mathcal{L}^T &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_r\phi^T\,\partial^r\phi^T + (C_2\, e^{-2c} + \omega^2\, e^{-2a})\,\phi^{T^2}\right)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$C_2 := l(l+D-3) \tag{4.5}$$

is a value of a quadratic Casimir operator in a scalar representation with highest weight l (for more details about various representations see Appendix C). We will also find it useful to define

$$\hat{C}_2 := C_2 - (D-2) = (l+D-2)(l-1). \tag{4.6}$$

In the expression (4.5) and throughout the rest of the paper bilinear expressions such as ϕ^2 must be understood as $\phi\phi^*$ and the real part extracted. (Even if the two fields are different, the expression is the same for either possibility of which field to conjugate).

4.2 Vector sector

In the vector sector there are 3 fields: h^V_t, h^V_r and h^V. [4] The vector part of the action is

$$\begin{aligned} S^V &= C_2 \int e^{a+(D-2)c}\, ds\, \mathcal{L}^V \\ \mathcal{L}^V &= \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_2$ is a "kinetic term", $\mathcal{L}_1$ is a term with one derivative w.r.t. r, and $\mathcal{L}_0$ is a "potential":

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = -e^{-2a-2c}\,\partial_r h^V_t\,\partial^r h^V_t - \frac{\hat{C}_2}{4} e^{-4c}\,\partial_r h^V\,\partial^r h^V\,, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -2i\omega\, e^{-2a-2c}\,\partial^r h^V_t\, h^V_r + \hat{C}_2 e^{-4c}\,\partial^r h^V\, h^V_r\,, \tag{4.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 = &-e^{-2c}\left(\hat{C}_2 e^{-2c} + \omega^2 e^{-2a}\right)\, h^V_r h^{V\,r} + 4i\omega\, e^{-a-3c}\sqrt{g^{rr}}\, h^V_r h^V_t \\ &- 2\,\hat{C}_2 e^{a-5c}\sqrt{g^{rr}}\, h^V_r h^V - e^{-2a-4c}\left(\hat{C}_2 + 2(D-3)e^{2a}\right)\, h^{V^2}_t \\ &+ i\hat{C}_2\omega e^{-2a-4c}\, h^V_t h^V - \frac{\hat{C}_2}{4} e^{-2a-6c}\left(e^{2c}\omega^2 - 4e^{4a} + 2(D-3)e^{2a}\right)\, h^{V^2} \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

The gauge variations of the fields are (see (3.9) for the definitions)

$$\delta h^V_t = i\omega\xi^V\,, \qquad \delta h^V_r = \xi^{V\prime} - 2c'\xi^V\,, \qquad \delta h^V = 2\xi^V\,. \tag{4.11}$$

[4] In this subsection all fields will have a V superscript. It would have been possible to omit it, but we didn't do so in order to avoid a possible confusion with scalar fields in the next subsection with an otherwise identical notation. The same comment applies to the S superscript in the next subsection.

Altogether the number of fields and gauge functions is $n_F = 3$, $n_G = 1$ and hence according to the general formula (2.3) we expect a single dynamic field ($3-2*1=1$) and one auxiliary field.

Since h_r^V is an r-vector it is seen that its variation includes $\xi^{V\prime}$ and hence it is "derivatively-gauged" in the terminology of [1]. According to the general theorem formulated and proved there and reviewed in section 2 the derivatively gauged fields decouple from the rest of the fields after a certain shift in their definitions (completion of a square) and the newly defined fields are auxiliary. An important role is played by the quantity in the following definition

$$\Delta^V := \hat{C}_2(l)\, e^{-2c(r)} + \omega^2\, e^{-2a(r)} \ , \tag{4.12}$$

which comes from the auxiliary action, and in the scalar sector will be seen to generalize to be essentially the determinant of the auxiliary action (up to a multiplicative factor). It appeared in (4.10) as a coefficient of $h_r^{V\,2}$. Now the shifted field can be written as

$$H_r^V \ = \ -\Delta^V h_r^V \ + \ i\,\omega\, e^{-a}(2e^{-c}\sqrt{g_{rr}} \ - \ e^{-a}\partial_r)\, h_t^V \ - \ \frac{1}{2}\hat{C}_2\, e^{a-2c}(2e^{-c}\sqrt{g_{rr}} \ - \ e^{-a}\partial_r)\, h^V \tag{4.13}$$

and derivatives of this new field do not appear in the Lagrangian, so this field is indeed auxiliary.

The remaining part of the Lagrangian contains only algebraically gauged fields (fields whose variation does not contain $\xi^{V\prime}$). Hence, in accordance with the general procedure of [1] each gauge function can be used to eliminate one field ("each gauge function shoots twice" – the gauge function already "produced" an auxiliary field).

In our case we have single gauge function ξ^V, so we can eliminate one algebraically gauged field out of two, or in other words, we can write the Lagrangian in terms of a single gauge invariant combination of fields. We define this dynamical field to be

$$\phi^V \equiv \phi_T^V = e^{-c}\,(\omega\, h^V + 2\, i\, h_t^V) \ . \tag{4.14}$$

The Lagrangian then includes terms proportional to ϕ'^2, $\phi\phi'$, ϕ^2 and $H_r^{V\ 2}$. The term $\phi\phi'$ can be integrated by parts (it will change the coefficient of ϕ^2). The remaining Lagrangian decouples into a dynamic part $\mathcal{L}_{Dyn}$ and an auxiliary (or algebraic part) $\mathcal{L}_A$

$$\mathcal{L}^V = \mathcal{L}_{Dyn}^V + \mathcal{L}_A^V \tag{4.15}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{Dyn}^V = -\frac{C_2\,\hat{C}_2}{4}\,\omega^2\,\left[\frac{e^{-2a}}{\Delta^V}\,\partial_r\phi^V\,\partial^r\phi^V + e^{-2a}\phi^{V\,2}\right] \tag{4.16}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_A^V = -\frac{C_2\, e^{-2c}}{\Delta^V}\, H_r^V\, H^{V\,r} \ , \tag{4.17}$$

where Δ^V is defined in (4.12).

Equivalence with Regge-Wheeler

We shall now demonstrate that in the 4d case the EOM derived from the Lagrangian (4.16) turns out to be equivalent to the Regge-Wheeler equation [6] (see also [12]) after a certain canonical transformation which essentially involves exchanging the field with its momentum. The Cunningham-Price-Moncrief master field φ_{CPM}, in terms of which the EOM is usually written, is connected to our field ϕ^V by

$$\varphi_{CPM} = \frac{ie^c}{2\,C_2\,\hat{C}_2\,\Delta^V}\ (\omega H_r^V - C_2\hat{C}_2\,\partial_r\phi^V)\Big|_{D=4}\ , \tag{4.18}$$

where the auxiliary H_r^V can be put to 0 due to its algebraic EOM. Specializing (4.16) to $D = 4$ and Schwarzschild coordinates (3.2) the dynamic part of our action becomes

$$S^V_{Dyn} = \int dr\,\frac{r^2}{2}\big(\frac{\phi^{V\prime 2}}{\Delta^V} + f^{-1}\phi^{V\,2}\big)\ . \tag{4.19}$$

with $f = 1 - \frac{r_0}{r}$ (the unimportant overall factor was changed to simplify the expressions).

Before we perform the necessary canonical transformation we have to turn first to the Hamiltonian. The conjugate momentum is $\pi^V \equiv \delta S_{Dyn}/\delta(\partial_r\phi^V) = \frac{r^2}{\Delta^V}\,\phi^{V\prime}$ and the Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}\big(\frac{\Delta^V}{r^2}\pi^{V\,2} - r^2 f^{-1}\phi^{V\,2}\big)\ . \tag{4.20}$$

Now we perform a canonical transformation

$$\tilde{\phi}^V = \frac{\pi^V}{r},\ \tilde{\pi}^V = -r\phi^V\ , \tag{4.21}$$

(in fact the new function $\tilde{\phi^V}$ is equal to φ_{CPM} up to a numerical factor). This transformation is produced by the generating function $F(\phi^V, \tilde{\phi^V}, r) = r\,\phi^V\,\tilde{\phi^V}$. In general, for a generating function of the form

$$F = \eta(r)\phi\tilde{\phi} \tag{4.22}$$

the canonical transformation is given by

$$\tilde{\phi} = \frac{\pi}{\eta}, \qquad \tilde{\pi} = -\eta\phi, \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} + \frac{\eta'}{\eta}\phi\tilde{\phi}. \tag{4.23}$$

Performing the canonical transformation gives $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2}\big(\Delta^V\tilde{\phi}^{V\,2} - f^{-1}\tilde{\pi}^{V\,2}\big) - \tilde{\phi}^V\tilde{\pi}^V/r$ and then Legendre transforming back to a Lagrangian we find

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = -\frac{f}{2}\tilde{\phi}^{V\prime 2} - \frac{f}{r}\tilde{\phi}^V\tilde{\phi}^{V\prime} - \frac{\Delta^V}{2}\tilde{\phi}^{V\,2} - \frac{f}{2r^2}\tilde{\phi}^{V\,2}. \tag{4.24}$$

The corresponding EOM is

$$\Box\tilde{\phi}^V = \big(\frac{C_2}{r^2} - \frac{3r_0}{r^3}\big)\tilde{\phi}^V, \tag{4.25}$$

where $\Box = \partial_r(f\partial_r) - f^{-1}\,\omega^2$ is the (r,t) Laplacian (or D'alembertian). This is precisely the Regge-Wheeler equation.[5]

[5] Up to a change to Lorentzian signature which amounts to $\omega^2 \to -\omega^2$.

These considerations can be easily generalized to the case of arbitrary dimension D and any background gauge. Starting with the Lagrangian (4.16), we turn to the Hamiltonian, perform a canonical transformation with the generating function

$$F = e^{\frac{D-2}{2}c}\phi^V\tilde{\phi}^V \tag{4.26}$$

according to (4.23) and transform back to the Lagrangian. The result is

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^V_{Dyn} &= e^{-(D-2)c}\partial_r\tilde{\phi}^V\partial^r\tilde{\phi}^V \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}e^{-2a-Dc}\Big\{4e^{2c}\omega^2 + 3(D-2)^2e^{4a} + \big(4C_2 - 2(D-1)(D-2)\big)e^{2a}\Big\}\tilde{\phi}^2. \end{aligned}\tag{4.27}$$

In the Schwarzschild coordinates this final form of the action encodes the master equation of [8][5]

$$S^V = \int dr\left(f\tilde{\phi}^{V\prime 2} + \Big\{\frac{4C_2 - 2(D-1)(D-2)f}{4r^2 f} - \frac{3(D-2)^2 f}{4r^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{f}\Big\}\tilde{\phi}^{V^2}\right)\ . \tag{4.28}$$

where f is defined in (3.2).

4.3 Scalar sector

In this section we apply the same procedure to the scalar sector. In this sector there are 7 fields: h^S_{tt}, h^S_{tr}, h^S_{rr}, h^S_t, h^S_r, h^S and $\tilde{h}^S$. Their gauge variations are

$$\begin{gathered}\delta h^S_{tt} = 2\left(i\omega\,\xi^S_t + a' e^{2a}\,\xi^{S\,r}\right)\ , \quad \delta h^S_{tr} = \xi^{S\prime}_t - 2a'\,\xi^S_t + i\omega\,\xi^S_r\ , \quad \delta h^S_{rr} = 2\left(\xi^{S\prime}_r - b'\,\xi^S_r\right)\ , \\ \delta h^S_t = \xi^S_t + i\omega\,\xi^S\ , \qquad \delta h^S_r = \xi^{S\prime} + \xi^S_r - 2c'\,\xi^S\ , \\ \delta h^S = 2c' e^{2c}\,\xi^{S\,r} - \frac{2\,C_2}{D-2}\,\xi^S\ , \qquad \delta\tilde{h}^S = 2\xi^S\ .\end{gathered}\tag{4.29}$$

Altogether the number of fields and gauge functions is $n_F = 7$, $n_G = 3$ and hence according to the general formula (2.3) we expect a single dynamic field ($7 - 2*7 = 1$) and 3 auxiliary fields.

Indeed, in the terminology of [1] the derivatively-gauged fields are h^S_{rr}, h^S_{tr} and h^S_r, and the rest are algebraically gauged, so the general theorem says that the Lagrangian can be separated into an auxiliary part consisting of 3 fields H^S_{rr}, H^S_{tr} and H^S_r (they are 3 derivatively-gauged fields shifted by additional terms coming from a completion to a square) and a dynamical part consisting of a single gauge-invariant field ϕ^S. We define

$$\phi^S \equiv \phi^S_{tt} := h^S_{tt} - \frac{a'}{c'}e^{2(a-c)}h^S - \Big[\frac{C_2}{D-2}e^{2(a-c)}\frac{a'}{c'} + \omega^2\Big]\tilde{h}^S - 2\,i\,\omega h^S_t, \tag{4.30}$$

In terms of this field the Lagrangian can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}S^S &= \int e^{a+(D-2)c}\,ds\,\mathcal{L}^S \\ \mathcal{L}^S &= \mathcal{L}^S_{Dyn} + \mathcal{L}^S_A \\ \mathcal{L}^S_{Dyn} &= \frac{1}{\Delta^S}\big(\hat{\alpha}\,\partial_r\phi^S\,\partial^r\phi^S + \hat{\beta}\,\sqrt{g^{rr}}\,\phi^S\phi^{S\prime} + \hat{\gamma}\,\phi^{S^2}\big)\ ,\end{aligned}\tag{4.31}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_A$ is an auxiliary Lagrangian and (the expressions are organized by first expanding in powers of $e^{2c}\omega^2$, each coefficient expanded again in powers of C_2 and a final expansion in powers of e^{2a}).

$$\Delta^S = (D-2)^2\, e^{4c}\omega^4 + (D-2)(D-3)\Big[C_2(e^{2a}+1) - 2(D-2)\, e^{2a}\Big]\, e^{2c}\omega^2 + \frac{C_2}{4}(D-3)^2\Big[C_2(e^{2a}+1)^2 - 4(D-2)\, e^{2a}\Big]\ , \quad (4.32)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\alpha} &= -\frac{C_2\hat{C}_2}{2}(D-2)(D-3)\ ,\\
\hat{\beta} &= \frac{C_2}{4}e^{-3a-c}\Big\{2(D-2)\Big[2C_2 - (D-2)\big((D-1)\, e^{2a} - D + 3\big)\Big]\, e^{2c}\omega^2\\
&\quad + 2C_2^2(D-3)(e^{2a}+1) - C_2(D-2)(D-3)\left[(3D-11)\, e^{4a} - 2(D-6)\, e^{2a} - D + 3\right]\\
&\quad + 12(D-2)^2(D-3)^2 e^{2a}(e^{2a}-1)\Big\},\\
\hat{\gamma} &= \frac{C_2}{8}e^{-4a-2c}\Bigg\{2(D-2)\Big[2C_2 - (D-2)\big((D-1)\, e^{2a} - D + 3\big)\Big]\Big((D-5)\, e^{2a} - D + 3\Big)\, e^{2c}\omega^2\\
&\quad + (D-3)\Big\{2C_2^2\big((D-5)\, e^{4a} - D + 3\big)\\
&\quad - C_2(D-2)\Big[2(D-3)(D-5)\, e^{6a} - (3D^2 - 30D + 67)\, e^{4a} - 8(D-3)\, e^{2a} + (D-3)^2\Big]\\
&\quad + 4(D-2)^2(D-3)^2\, e^{2a}(e^{2a}-1)^2\Big\}\Bigg\},
\end{aligned}$$

The auxiliary Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_A^S = \frac{1}{(\Delta^S)^2}\ H^{S\dagger}\, M\, H^S \quad (4.33)$$

with

$$H^S = \begin{pmatrix} H^S_{rr} \\ H^S_{tr} \\ H^S_r \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.34)$$

(the auxiliary fields H_{rr}, H_{tr} and H_r are defined in the Appendix B) and M is a 3×3 Hermitian matrix given by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(D-2)(D-3)}{2}\, e^{2a-4b} & i(D-2)\, e^{a-3b+c}\omega & -\frac{(D-3)}{2}\, e^{a-3b-c}(e^{2a}+1) \\ -i(D-2)\, e^{a-3b+c}\omega & -C_2\, e^{-2b} & i\omega\, e^{-2b} \\ -\frac{(D-3)}{2}\, e^{a-3b-c}(e^{2a}+1) & -i\omega\, e^{-2b} & C_2^{-1}\, e^{-2b-2c}\big(2(D-3)\, e^{2a} - e^{2c}\omega^2\big) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.35)$$

Equivalence with Zerilli

We shall now show that just like in the vector case the standard Zerilli equation is obtained after an analogous canonical transformation. In $D = 4$ and Schwarzschild coordinates the master field of Zerilli (as given in [12]) is

$$\Psi_Z = \frac{r_0 - r}{3r_0 + r(C_2 - 2)} \pi^S \ . \tag{4.36}$$

An action that yields Zerilli's equation is

$$S_Z = \int dr \ \Big[f \, \Psi_Z'^2 + V_Z(r, \omega) \, \Psi_Z^2 \Big] \ , \tag{4.37}$$

where

$$V_Z(r, \omega) = \frac{1}{\Lambda(r)^2} \Big[(C_2 - 2)^2 \, \big(\frac{C_2}{r^2} + \frac{3r_0}{r^3} \big) + \frac{9r_0^2}{r^4} \big(C_2 - 2 + \frac{r_0}{r} \big) \Big] + \frac{\omega^2}{f} \ , \tag{4.38}$$

and

$$\Lambda(r) = C_2 - 2 + \frac{3r_0}{r} \ . \tag{4.39}$$

Just like the case of the vector sector this Lagrangian is obtained from ours by performing a canonical transformation with the generating function

$$F = -\frac{\Lambda(r)}{f} \phi^S \tilde{\phi}^S \tag{4.40}$$

(and integrating by parts the term with one derivative).

Generalizing to arbitrary dimension and background gauge the generating function is

$$F = -e^{-2a + \frac{D-4}{2} c} \, \Lambda_D(r) \ , \tag{4.41}$$

where

$$\Lambda_D(r) = \hat{C}_2 + \frac{(D-1)(D-2)}{2} \, (1 - e^{2a}) \ . \tag{4.42}$$

Then our usual procedure leads to the following action

$$\tilde{S}^S = \int e^a \, ds \, \Big[\partial_r \tilde{\phi}^S \, \partial^r \phi^S + V(r) \, \tilde{\phi}^{S^2} \Big] \ , \tag{4.43}$$

where

$$V(r) = \frac{e^{-2c}}{\Lambda_D^2(r)} \, Q(r) + e^{-2a} \, \omega^2 \ , \tag{4.44}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(r) &= \Big(\hat{C}_2^3 + \alpha \, \hat{C}_2^2 + \beta \, \hat{C}_2 + \gamma \Big) \\
\alpha &= \frac{D-2}{4} \Big\{ 3(D-6) e^{2a} - 2(D-9) \Big\}, \\
\beta &= \frac{(D-1)(D-2)}{4} (e^{2a} - 1) \Big\{ (2D^2 - 11D + 18) e^{2a} + (D-1)(D-6) \Big\}, \\
\gamma &= -\frac{(D-1)^2 (D-2)^2}{16} (e^{2a} - 1)^2 \Big\{ (D-2)^2 e^{2a} - 2(D^2 - 7D + 14) \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Schwarzschild coordinates, we obtain the following action

$$\tilde{S}^S = \int dr \left[f \tilde{\phi}^{S\prime 2} + \left\{ \frac{Q(r)}{r^2 \Lambda_D^2(r)} + f^{-1}\,\omega^2 \right\} \tilde{\phi}^{S2} \right] , \tag{4.45}$$

which reproduces the equation obtained in [8].[5]

5. Summary of results

In this section we collect our main results.

The background Schwarzschild metric, allowing for an arbitrary choice of the radial coordinate can be written as

$$ds^2_{(0)} = -e^{2a(r)}\,dt^2 + e^{2b(r)}\,dr^2 + e^{2c(r)}\,d\Omega^2_{D-2} , \tag{5.1}$$

thereby defining the background fields a, b and c. The perturbation field $h_{\mu\nu}$ is defined through

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} . \tag{5.2}$$

The usual Lorentzian Schwarzschild metric (5.1) has a Euclidean version (3.1) obtained simply by reversing the sign of g_{tt}. Since the metric is static the transformation between the two is quite straightforward and is effected by the analytic continuation $t \to i\,t$. Whereas so far we used the Euclidean signature (for the sake of a certain mathematical uniformity) in this section we transform to the more physical Lorentzian signature. The effect of the analytic continuation $t \to i\,t$ on our formulae is given by the following transformation

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega \to -i\,\omega \\ &h_t \to -i\,h_t \;\; ; \;\; h_{tr} \to -i\,h_{tr} \;\; ; \;\; h_{tt} \to -h_{tt} \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

The tensor sector. The tensor sector includes a single field and no gauge functions, so there is no issue of gauge choice or decoupling.

The action in the tensor sector is given by

$$S^T = \int e^{a+(D-2)c}\,ds\,\mathcal{L}^T , \tag{5.4}$$

where

$$ds := e^b\,dr \tag{5.5}$$

is the 1d "volume" element and $e^{a+(D-2)c}\,ds = \int \sqrt{-g_D}\,d^D x$ is the D-dimensional volume element reduced over time and the sphere. The Lagrangian density is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^T = \frac{1}{2}\left[\omega^2\,e^{-2a}\,\phi^T\phi^{T*} - \partial_r\phi^T\,\partial^r\phi^{T*} - V^T(r)\,\phi^T\phi^{T*}\right] \tag{5.6}$$

where

- $\phi^T = \phi^T_{\omega l}(r)$ is the traceless and divergenceless part of h^i_j;

- $V^T(r) = C_2(l)\, e^{-2c}$ is the potential, and
- $C_2(l) = l(l+D-3)$.

The vector sector. In this sector we count 3 fields and one gauge function, and therefore we have a single dynamic field (the master field) and a single auxiliary field.

The action is given by

$$S^V = C_2 \int e^{a+(D-2)c}\, ds \;\; \left[\mathcal{L}^V_{Dyn} + \mathcal{L}^V_A\right] \;. \tag{5.7}$$

The auxiliary action is

$$\mathcal{L}^V_A = -\frac{C_2}{\Delta^V}\, H^V_r\, H^{Vr*} \tag{5.8}$$

where

$$\Delta^V = \hat{C}_2(l) e^{-2c} - \omega^2\, e^{-2a} \tag{5.9}$$

and

$$\hat{C}_2 := (l-1)(l+D-2)\;. \tag{5.10}$$

The auxiliary field is an r-vector that can be expressed in terms of the fields $h_{\mu\nu}$ as follows

$$H^V_r = -\Delta^V\, h^V_r - i\,\omega\, e^{-a}(2e^{-c}\sqrt{g_{rr}} - e^{-a}\partial_r)\, h^V_t - \frac{1}{2}\hat{C}_2\, e^{a-2c}(2e^{-c}\sqrt{g_{rr}} - e^{-a}\partial_r)\, h^V \;. \tag{5.11}$$

For the dynamic part we have two expressions. The first is the one that naturally emerges from the auxiliary/dynamic method and it is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^V_{Dyn} = -\frac{C_2\,\hat{C}_2}{4}\,\omega^2 \left[\frac{e^{-2a}}{\Delta^V}\,\partial_r\phi^V_t\,\partial^r\phi^{V*}_t + \phi^V_t\,\phi^{Vt*}\right] \tag{5.12}$$

where the dynamic field is

$$\phi^V_t = e^{-c}\,\left(\omega h^V + 2i\, h^V_t\right) \tag{5.13}$$

(after dropping an overall $(-i)$ factor that comes from the analytic continuation of (4.14)).

The second expression encodes the standard Regge-Wheeler master equation (as generalized by Kodama-Ishibashi). It is

$$S^V = \int e^a\, ds\, \left[\omega^2\, e^{-2a}\,\tilde{\phi}^V\tilde{\phi}^{V*} - \partial_r\tilde{\phi}^V\,\partial^r\tilde{\phi}^{V*} - V^V(r)\,\tilde{\phi}^V\tilde{\phi}^{V*}\right] \;, \tag{5.14}$$

where the potential in the vector sector is

$$V^V(r) = \left(\frac{3}{4}(D-2)^2\, e^{2a} + C_2 - \frac{(D-1)(D-2)}{2}\right) e^{-2c} \;, \tag{5.15}$$

and the new dynamic field, which is essential the one canonically conjugate to ϕ^V_t is

$$\tilde{\phi}^V = \frac{\exp(\frac{D-2}{2}c - b - a)}{\Delta^V}\,\partial_r\phi^V_t \;. \tag{5.16}$$

The scalar sector. In this sector we find 7 fields and 3 gauge functions, and therefore we still have a single dynamic field (the master field) and this time there are 3 auxiliary fields.

The action is given by

$$S^V = C_2 \int e^{a+(D-2)c}\, ds \;\; \left[\mathcal{L}^S_{Dyn} + \mathcal{L}^S_A \right] \;. \tag{5.17}$$

The auxiliary sector includes the r-tensor H^S_{rr} as well as the two r-vectors H^S_{rt} and H^S_r. Their action is a straightforward analytic continuation of (4.33,4.35) according to the rules (5.3).

The first expression for the dynamic action $\mathcal{L}^S_{Dyn}$ in terms of the master field ϕ^S_{tt} is quite involved and can be gotten by an analytic continuation of (4.31).

The second expression produces the standard Zerilli master equation (as generalized by Kodama-Ishibashi). It is

$$S^S = \int e^a\, ds \, \left[\omega^2\, e^{-2a}\, \tilde{\phi}^S \tilde{\phi}^{S*} - \partial_r \tilde{\phi}^S\, \partial^r \tilde{\phi}^{S*} - V^S(r)\, \tilde{\phi}^S \tilde{\phi}^{S*}\right] \;, \tag{5.18}$$

where the potential in the scalar sector is

$$V^S(r) = \frac{e^{-2c}}{\Lambda_D^2} \left(\hat{C}_2^3 + \alpha\, \hat{C}_2^2 + \beta\, \hat{C}_2 + \gamma\right) \tag{5.19}$$

together with

$$\Lambda_D = \hat{C}_2(l) + \frac{(D-1)(D-2)}{2}(1 - e^{2a}) \;, \tag{5.20}$$

and the coefficients α, β, γ which depend only on D and $e^{a(r)}$ are given in (4.45). The new dynamic field $\tilde{\phi}^S$ is essentially the momentum canonically conjugate to ϕ^S_{tt}.

Acknowledgements

We thank Michael Smolkin for discussions. This work was finalized during the workshop "Einsteins Gravity in Higher Dimensions", Jerusalem, 1822 February 2007. This research is supported in part by The Israel Science Foundation grant no 607/05, DIP grant H.52, EU grant MRTN-CT-2004-512194 the Einstein Center at the Hebrew University, and by The Binational Science Foundation BSF-2004117.

A. Derivation of the one-dimensional action

The action for gravitational waves around a general background was given in (3.6). In this section we reduce it to obtain a 1D action. First of all we need to separate the indices into sets according to their behavior w.r.t. rotations: t and r are denoted by α, $\beta, \ldots$ while the angular coordinates are denoted by Latin letters i, $j, \ldots$. In addition, we have to take into account the fact that covariant derivatives on the $(D-2)$-dimensional sphere and in the ambient space differ in Christoffel symbols with at least one index in (r,t). In fact the only non-vanishing Christoffel symbols are of the form $\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}$, $\Gamma^{j}_{i\alpha}$, Γ^{α}_{ij} and Γ^{i}_{jk}. We denote the covariant derivatives on a sphere by $\tilde{\nabla}_i$. With indices separated in this way and after introducing covariant derivatives on a sphere the action gets the form

$$S = \int d^D x \sqrt{g} \Big(A_3 + A_2 + A_1 + A_0 + \frac{1}{2(D-2)} (B_3 + B_2 + B_1 + B_0) \Big), \tag{A.1}$$

where the A-terms and B-terms come from the first and second terms in (3.6), and subscripts highlight the number of (t,r) indices in the "parent" term. We have (where in what follows a comma means a usual partial derivative w.r.t. r, rather than a covariant one)

$$A_3 = \hbar_{\beta\gamma,\alpha}\hbar_{\beta\gamma,\alpha} - 2\,\hbar_{\beta\gamma,\alpha}\hbar_{\alpha\gamma,\beta} + 4\,\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}\hbar_{\mu\gamma}\hbar_{\alpha\beta,\gamma} - 4\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\nu}_{\beta\gamma}\hbar_{\mu\gamma}\hbar_{\nu\alpha},$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 = {} & \tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{\alpha\beta}\tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{\alpha\beta} + 2\,\hbar_{i\beta,\alpha}\hbar_{i\beta,\alpha} - 2\,\hbar_{i\beta,\alpha}\hbar_{i\alpha,\beta} - 4\,\tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{\alpha\beta}\hbar_{i\beta,\alpha} \\ & + 8\,\Gamma^{j}_{i\alpha}\hbar_{j\beta}\hbar_{i\alpha,\beta} + 4\,\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}\hbar_{i\mu}\tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{\alpha\beta} - 4\,\Gamma^{j}_{i\alpha}\Gamma^{k}_{i\beta}\hbar_{j\beta}\hbar_{k\alpha} - 8\,\Gamma^{j}_{i\beta}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}\hbar_{j\alpha}\hbar_{i\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 = {} & 2\,\tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{j\alpha}\tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{j\alpha} - 2\,\tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{j\alpha}\tilde{\nabla}_j\hbar_{i\alpha} - 4\,\hbar_{ij,\alpha}\tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{j\alpha} + \hbar_{ij,\alpha}\hbar_{ij,\alpha} \\ & + 4\,\Gamma^{\beta}_{ij}\hbar_{\alpha\beta}\hbar_{ij,\alpha} + 8\,\Gamma^{k}_{i\alpha}\hbar_{kj}\tilde{\nabla}_j\hbar_{i\alpha} - 8\,\Gamma^{\alpha}_{ij}\Gamma^{k}_{j\beta}\hbar_{ki}\hbar_{\alpha\beta} - 4\,\Gamma^{k}_{i\alpha}\Gamma^{l}_{j\alpha}\hbar_{kj}\hbar_{li}, \end{aligned}$$

$$A_0 = \tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{jk}\tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{jk} - 2\,\tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{jk}\tilde{\nabla}_j\hbar_{ik} + 4\,\Gamma^{\alpha}_{ij}\hbar_{k\alpha}\tilde{\nabla}_k\hbar_{ij} - 4\,\Gamma^{\alpha}_{ij}\Gamma^{\beta}_{jk}\hbar_{k\alpha}\hbar_{i\beta},$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_3 &= \hbar_{\beta\beta,\alpha}\hbar_{\gamma\gamma,\alpha}, \\ B_2 &= 2\,\hbar_{\beta\beta,\alpha}\hbar_{ii,\alpha} + \tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{\alpha\alpha}\tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{\beta\beta}, \\ B_1 &= \hbar_{ii,\alpha}\hbar_{jj,\alpha} + 2\,\tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{\alpha\alpha}\tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{jj} \\ B_0 &= \tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{jj}\tilde{\nabla}_i\hbar_{kk}. \end{aligned}$$

In these expressions the summation over the repeated indices is assumed to be done with the corresponding components of the inverse metric, which is diagonal; they are not written explicitly in order not to clutter the notation. So, for example, $\hbar_{\alpha\alpha}$ should be understood as $g^{(0)\alpha\beta}\hbar_{\alpha\beta}$, $\hbar_{ii}$ as $g^{(0)ij}\hbar_{ij}$ etc. Note that this action is valid for quadratic perturbations around any background of the form $\mathbf{S}^m \times X$, where X and m are arbitrary.

The explicit expressions for the inverse metric and the Christoffel symbols in the back-

ground (3.1) are

$$g^{(0)tt} = e^{-2a},\ g^{(0)rr} = e^{-2b},\ g^{(0)ij} = e^{-2c}\tilde{g}^{ij}, \tag{A.2}$$

$$\Gamma^t_{tr} = a',\ \Gamma^r_{tt} = -a'e^{2(a-b)},\ \Gamma^r_{rr} = b',\ \Gamma^j_{ri} = c'\delta^j_i,\ \Gamma^r_{ij} = -c'e^{2(c-b)}\tilde{g}_{ij}, \tag{A.3}$$

where $\tilde{g}_{ij}$ is a metric on a unit $D-2$-dimensional sphere.

Next we separate the α, β indices according to $\alpha \to (t, r)$, express $\hbar$'s in terms of h's according to the definition (3.4), expand the fields as in (3.8) and calculate angular integrals with the formulae of Appendix (C). In this way one arrives at the action described in subsections 4.1-4.3.

B. Auxiliary fields in the scalar sector

In this appendix we give the precise definitions of the auxiliary fields in the scalar sector. As mentioned in section 4.3 there are 3 such fields that come from a completion to a square of fields h^S_{rr}, h^S_{tr} and h^S_r. We called these fields H^S_{rr}, H^S_{tr} and H^S_r. They are invariant w.r.t. the gauge transformations (4.29) and appear in the Lagrangian of the scalar sector in an algebraic way (without derivatives).

The first of these fields is given by

$$H^S_{rr} := e^{-3a-c+2b}\left(\alpha_1 h^S_{rr} + \alpha_2 h^S_{tt} + \alpha_3 h^S_t + \alpha_4 h^S + \alpha_5 \tilde{h}^S + \alpha_6 h^{S\prime}_{tt} + \alpha_7 h^{S\prime}_t + \alpha_8 h^{S\prime} + \alpha_9 \tilde{h}^{S\prime}\right), \tag{B.1}$$

where the expressions that follow for the coefficients are organized (as in section 4.3) by first expanding in powers of $e^{2c}\omega^2$, each coefficient expanded again in powers of C_2 and a final expansion in powers of e^{2a}.

$$\alpha_1 = e^{2(a-b)}\,\Delta^S$$

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_2 = \frac{i\alpha_3}{2\omega} = &-\frac{C_2}{2}(D-2)\Big[(D-5)e^{2a} - D + 3\Big]e^{2c}\omega^2 \\ &- \frac{C_2}{4}(D-3)(e^{2a}-1)\Big\{C_2\Big[(D-5)e^{2a} + D - 3\Big] - 4(D-2)(D-3)e^{2a}\Big\};\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_4 = \frac{1}{4}e^{-2c}\Big\{&-2(D-2)^2\Big[(D-5)e^{2a} - D + 3\Big]e^{4c}\omega^4 - (D-2)(D-3)e^{2c}\omega^2\times \\ &\Big\{\Big[C_2(D-13) - 4(D-2)(D-5)\Big]e^{4a} + 2\Big[C_2 + 2(D-2)(D-3)\Big]e^{2a} - C_2(D-3)\Big\} \\ &+ 4C_2(D-3)^2\hat{C}_2 e^{6a}\Big\};\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha_5 = C_2\hat{C}_2\frac{D-3}{D-2}e^{4a-2c}\Big\{2(D-2)e^{2c}\omega^2 + C_2(D-3)e^{2a}\Big\};$$

$$\alpha_6 = \frac{i\alpha_7}{2\omega} = -\frac{C_2}{2}e^{a-b+c}\Bigg\{2(D-2)e^{2c}\omega^2 + (D-3)\Big[C_2(e^{2a}+1) - 2(D-2)e^{2a}\Big]\Bigg\};$$

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_8 = -\frac{1}{2}e^{a-b-c}\Bigg\{&2(D-2)^2e^{4c}\omega^4 + (D-2)(D-3)\Big[C_2(3e^{2a}+1) - 4(D-2)e^{2a}\Big]e^{2c}\omega^2 \\ &+ C_2\hat{C}_2(D-3)^2(e^{4a}+e^{2a})\Bigg\};\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha_9 = -\frac{C_2\hat{C}_2}{2}\frac{D-3}{D-2}e^{3a-b-c}\Big\{2(D-2)e^{2c}\omega^2 + C_2(D-3)(e^{2a}+1)\Big\}.$$

The second auxiliary field is given by

$$H^S_{tr} := e^{-a-c}\left(\beta_1\, h^S_{tr} + \beta_2\, h^S_{tt} + \beta_3\, h^S_t + \beta_4\, h^S + \beta_5\,\tilde{h}^S + \beta_6\, h^{S\prime}_{tt} + \beta_7\, h^{S\prime}_t + \beta_8\, h^{S\prime} + \beta_9\,\tilde{h}^{S\prime}\right), \tag{B.8}$$

where the coefficients are

$$\beta_1 = \Delta^S$$

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_2 = \frac{i}{4}e^{-a+b+c}\omega\Bigg\{&2(D-2)(e^{2a}-1)\Big[(D-2)(D-3) - C_2\Big]e^{2c}\omega^2 \\ &- (D-3)\Big\{C_2^2(e^{2a}+1) - C_2(D-2)\Big[2(D-4)e^{4a} - (D-7)e^{2a} - D + 3\Big] \\ &+ 4(D-2)^2(D-3)(e^{4a}-e^{2a})\Big\}\Bigg\};\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_3 = -\frac{C_2}{4}e^{-a+b-c}\Bigg\{&4(D-2)e^{4c}\omega^4 \\ &+ 2(D-3)\Big[C_2(e^{2a}-1) + (D-2)(2e^{4a} + (D-7)e^{2a} - D + 3)\Big]e^{2c}\omega^2 \\ &+ (D-3)^3(e^{2a}-1)\Big[C_2(e^{2a}+1)^2 - 4(D-2)e^{2a}\Big]\Bigg\};\end{aligned}$$

$$\beta_4 = -\frac{i}{4}e^{-a+b-c}\omega\Big\{2(D-2)^2e^{4c}\omega^4$$
$$+(D-2)(D-3)\Big\{C_2(3e^{2a}+1)+(D-2)\Big[2e^{4a}+(D-9)e^{2a}-D+3\Big]\Big\}e^{2c}\omega^2$$
$$+\frac{(D-3)^2}{2}\Big\{2C_2^2(e^{4a}-e^{2a})$$
$$+C_2\Big[(D+5)(D-2)e^{6a}+(D-2)(D-13)e^{4a}-(D-1)(D-2)e^{2a}-(D-2)(D-3)\Big]$$
$$-4(D-2)^2e^{2a}(2e^{4a}+(D-5)e^{2a}-D+3)\Big\}\Big\};$$

$$\beta_5 = -\frac{i\omega}{4}\hat{C}_2(D-3)e^{a+b-c}\Big\{2e^{2c}\omega^2+\frac{D-3}{D-2}\Big[C_2(e^{2a}+1)+4(D-2)(e^{4a}-e^{2a})\Big]\Big\};$$

$$\beta_6 = \frac{i(D-2)}{4\omega}\Big\{2(D-2)e^{4c}\omega^4+(D-3)\Big[C_2(3e^{2a}+1)-4(D-2)e^{2a}\Big]e^{2c}\omega^2\Big\};$$

$$\beta_7 = \frac{C_2}{4}(D-3)\Big\{2(D-2)(e^{2a}-1)e^{2c}\omega^2-(D-3)\Big[C_2(e^{2a}-1)^2-4(D-2)e^{2a}\Big]\Big\};$$

$$\beta_8 = \frac{i}{4}\omega(D-2)(D-3)(e^{2a}-1)\Big\{(D-2)e^{2c}\omega^2+\frac{D-3}{2}\Big[C_2(3e^{2a}+1)-4(D-2)e^{2a}\Big]\Big\};$$

$$\beta_9 = \frac{iC_2}{2}\hat{C}_2(D-3)^2e^{4a}\omega.$$

The third auxiliary field is

$$H_r^S = C_2\, e^{-a-c}\left(\gamma_1 h_r^S+\gamma_2 h_{tt}^S+\gamma_3 h_t^S+\gamma_4 h^S+\gamma_5\tilde{h}^S+\gamma_6 h_{tt}^{S\prime}+\gamma_7 h_t^{S\prime}+\gamma_8 h^{S\prime}+\gamma_9\tilde{h}^{S\prime}\right), \tag{B.17}$$

where the coefficients are

$$\gamma_1 = \Delta^S$$

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{i\gamma_3}{2\omega} = -\frac{1}{4}e^{-a+b+c}\Big\{2(D-2)\Big[C_2 - 2(D-2)e^{2a}\Big]e^{2c}\omega^2 + C_2(D-3)\Big\{C_2(e^{2a}+1) - (D-2)e^{2a}\Big[(D-3)e^{2a} - D + 5\Big]\Big\}\Big\};$$

$$\gamma_4 = -\frac{1}{4}e^{-a+b-c}\Big\{2(D-2)^2e^{4c}\omega^4 + (D-2)(D-3)\Big\{C_2(3e^{2a}+1) - (D-2)e^{2a}\Big[(D+1)e^{2a} - D + 3\Big]\Big\}e^{2c}\omega^2 + C_2(D-3)^2\Big[C_2(e^{2a}+1) + (D-2)(e^{4a} - 3e^{2a})\Big]\Big\};$$

$$\gamma_5 = -\frac{\hat{C}_2}{4}(D-3)\,e^{a+b-c}\Big\{2\Big[C_2 - 4(D-2)e^{2a}\Big]e^{2c}\omega^2 + C_2\frac{D-3}{D-2}\Big[C_2(e^{2a}+1) - 4(D-2)e^{2a}\Big]\Big\};$$

$$\gamma_6 = \frac{i\gamma_7}{2\omega} = \frac{2e^{2c}\,\gamma_8}{(D-3)\,(e^{2a}-1)} = -\frac{D-2}{4}e^{2c}\Big\{2(D-2)e^{2c}\omega^2 - C_2(D-3)(e^{2a}-1)\Big\};$$

$$\gamma_9 = -\frac{\hat{C}_2}{2}(D-3)\,e^{2a}\Big\{2(D-2)e^{2c}\omega^2 + C_2(D-3)\Big\};$$

C. Multidimensional spherical harmonics

General theory

We consider tensor spherical harmonics of various ranks on a $d-1$ dimensional sphere, where in our application $d = D-1$. We give here the properties that we need, while furthermore an explicit construction of the harmonics can be found, for example, in [16]. Spherical harmonics transform in an irreducible representation of $SO(d)$. These representations are labeled by Dynkin indices $[l_1...l_n]$ where $n = [d/2]$ is the rank of the group $SO(d)$ and each function within the representation is further labeled by a "weight vector" $[m_1...m_n]$. Henceforth we shall denote the multi-index $m_1...m_n$ collectively as m.

In this context it is necessary to distinguish two types of tensor types. The first tensor-type is with respect to local diffeomorpshims under $GL(d)$ and it will be denoted as a Ω-scalar-field or Ω-vector-field etc. The second tensor type is with respect to the $SO(d)$ global isometries: we will refer to $[l, 0, ...0]$ as an $SO(d)$-scalar, to $[l, 1, ...0]$ as an $SO(d)$-vector and to $[l, 2, ...0]$ as an $SO(d)$-tensor.

First, there are (Ω) scalar spherical harmonics Y^S_{lm} that transform under rotations of a sphere in the $SO(d)$-scalar representation $[l, 0, ...0]$. These functions are all eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Box \equiv \nabla_i \nabla^i$

$$\Box Y^S_{lm} = -C_2\, Y^S_{lm} \ , \tag{C.1}$$

where $C_2 := l(l + d - 2)$ is the eigenvalue of the quadratic Casimir operator in the $SO(d)$-scalar representation $[l, 0, ...0]$. It is a generalization of the well known formula for $d = 3$ spherical harmonics $\Box Y = -l(l + 1)Y$.

Then there are (Ω) vector spherical harmonics, which belong to two families according to the Hodge decomposition. The first family consists of Ω-vector-fields of the following gradient form

$$Y^S_{i,\, lm} = \nabla_i Y^S_{lm} \ . \tag{C.2}$$

These are $SO(d)$-scalars which explains the superscript S (they belong to the same type of $SO(d)$ representation $[l, 0, ...0]$ as the Ω-scalar-fields). In view of (C.1) they obey

$$\nabla_i Y^{S\ i}_{lm} = -C_2\, Y^S_{lm} \ , \tag{C.3}$$

The functions in the second family of (Ω) vector harmonics $Y^V_{i,\, lm}$ belong to the $SO(d)$-vector representation $[l, 1, ...0]$ and are divergenseless:

$$\nabla_i Y^{V\ i}_{lm} = 0 \ . \tag{C.4}$$

The action of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Y^S_i can be calculated from the definition:

$$\Box Y^S_{i,\, lm} = -(C_2 - d + 2)\, Y^S_{i,\, lm} \ . \tag{C.5}$$

whereas for Y^V_i the general theory presented in [16] gives

$$\Box Y^V_{i,\, lm} = -(C_2 - 1)\, Y^V_{i,\, lm} \ . \tag{C.6}$$

Then there are (Ω) symmetric tensor spherical harmonics, which come in 4 families. First of all, as g_{ij} and ∇_i are invariant tensors, it is obvious that the Ω-tensor valued functions $g_{ij}\, Y^S_{lm}$ and $\nabla_i \nabla_j Y^S_{lm}$ transform in the $SO(d)$ -scalar representation $[l, 0, ...0]$. However they are not orthogonal w.r.t. to the inner product

$$< Y_1 | Y_2 > \equiv \int\limits_{S^{d-1}} Y_{1,ij} Y_2^{ij} d\Omega \ . \tag{C.7}$$

In order to orthogonalize this system of functions we define two (Ω) tensor spherical harmonics by

$$Y^S_{ij,\, lm} := g_{ij}\, Y^S_{lm} \ , \qquad \tilde{Y}^S_{ij,\, lm} := \big(\nabla_i \nabla_j + \frac{C_2}{d-1}\big) Y^S_{lm} \ . \tag{C.8}$$

Then there are spherical harmonics of the gradient form

$$Y^V_{ij,\ l,m} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i Y^V_{j,\ lm} + \nabla_j Y^V_{i,\ lm}) \ , \tag{C.9}$$

which belong to the vector representation $[l, 1, 0...0]$ and are traceless because of (C.3). Finally there is the family of (Ω) tensor spherical harmonics $Y^T_{ij,\ lm}$ which belong to the symmetric traceless tensor representation $[l, 2, 0...0]$ and is divergenceless. The action of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Y^S, $\tilde{Y}^S$ and Y^V can be calculated directly from their definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \Box Y^S_{ij,\ lm} &= -C_2 Y^S_{ij,\ lm} \ , \\ \Box \tilde{Y}^S_{ij,\ lm} &= -(C_2 - 2d + 2)\tilde{Y}^S_{ij,\ lm} \ , \\ \Box Y^V_{ij,\ lm} &= -(C_2 - d - 1) Y^V_{ij,\ lm} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{C.10}$$

and the action on Y^T is given by (see [16])

$$\Box Y^T_{ij,\ lm} = -(C_2 - 2)\, Y^T_{ij,\ lm} \ . \tag{C.11}$$

Divergences of tensor harmonics are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i Y^{S\,ij} = Y^{S\,j} \ , \qquad \nabla_i \tilde{Y}^{S\,ij} &= -\frac{d-2}{d-1}(C_2 - (d-1))\, Y^{S\,j} \ , \\ \nabla_i Y^{V\,ij} = -\frac{C_2 - (d-1)}{2}\, Y^{V\,j} \ , \qquad \nabla_i Y^{T\,ij} &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.12}$$

Orthogonality and normalization

The spherical harmonics constructed above are orthogonal to each other. For harmonics belonging to different irreps it follows from the usual theorems about unitary representations, and Y^S_{ij} and $\tilde{Y}^S_{ij}$ are orthogonal by construction. Now we calculate the norms of various spherical harmonics, where in fact the first line in each group is just the definition of the appropriate normalization.

- Scalar

$$\int d\Omega Y^S_{lm} Y^{S*}_{l'm'} = \delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'} \ . \tag{C.13}$$

- Vector

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\Omega\, Y^V_{i\,lm} Y^{V*\,i}_{l'm'} &= C_2\, \delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'} \ , \\ \int d\Omega\, Y^S_{i\,lm} Y^{S*\,i}_{l'm'} &= C_2\, \delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.14}$$

- Tensor

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\Omega\, Y^S_{ij\,lm} Y^{S*\,ij}_{l'm'} &= (d-1)\, \delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'} \ , \\ \int d\Omega\, \tilde{Y}^S_{ij\,lm} \tilde{Y}^{S*\,ij}_{l'm'} &= \frac{d-2}{d-1}\, C_2(C_2 - d + 1)\, \delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'} \ , \\ \int d\Omega\, Y^V_{ij\,lm} Y^{V*\,ij}_{l'm'} &= \frac{C_2}{2}(C_2 - d + 1)\, \delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'} \ , \\ \int d\Omega\, Y^T_{ij\,lm} Y^{T*\,ij}_{l'm'} &= \delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.15}$$

Spherical harmonics on $\mathbf{S}^2$

The case of spherical harmonics on $\mathbf{S}^2$ is special. The rank of $SO(3)$ is 1, so "weight vectors" consist of a single number, and therefore all harmonics, no matter if they are scalar, vector etc, belong to the same representations of $SO(3)$. Scalar spherical harmonics are labelled by single Dynkin index l and a single weight m: Y_{lm}. Vector spherical harmonics can be built out of scalars with the help of invariant tensors. There are 2 independent invariant tensors: the covariant derivative on the sphere ∇_i and the Levi-Civita tensor ϵ_{ij}. One can build 2 families of vector harmonics:

$$Y^{(+)}_{i\,lm} = \nabla_i Y_{lm'} \ , \qquad Y^{(-)}_{i\,lm} = \epsilon_i^{\ j} \nabla_j Y_{lm'} \ . \tag{C.16}$$

The sign in the superscript denotes the spatial parity of the harmonic with $l = 0$. Higher-dimensional spherical harmonics Y^S_i and Y^V_i are generalizations of $Y^{(+)}$ and $Y^{(-)}$ correspondingly.

One can continue the process of covariant differentiation and multiplication by ϵ_{ij} to build tensor spherical harmonics of higher ranks. For example, one gets four families of rank 2 tensor spherical harmonics:

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{(1)}_{lm\,ij} &= \nabla_i \nabla_j Y_{lm} \ , \qquad Y^{(2)}_{lm\,ij} = \epsilon_i^{\ k} \nabla_k \nabla_j Y_{lm} \ , \\ Y^{(3)}_{lm\,ij} &= \epsilon_j^{\ k} \nabla_i \nabla_k Y_{lm} \ , \qquad Y^{(4)}_{lm\,ij} = \epsilon_i^{\ k} \epsilon_j^{\ n} \nabla_k \nabla_n Y_{lm} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{C.17}$$

8 families at rank 3 etc. For our purposes we need vector and symmetric rank 2 tensor harmonics. Out of four rank 2 tensor harmonics one can build three symmetric ones:

$$Y^{(+1)}_{lm\,ij} = \nabla_i \nabla_j Y_{lm} \ , \qquad Y^{(+2)}_{lm\,ij} = \epsilon^{\ k}_{(i} \epsilon^{\ n}_{j)} \nabla_k \nabla_n Y_{lm} \ , \qquad Y^{(-)}_{lm\,ij} = \epsilon^{\ k}_{(i} \nabla_{j)} \nabla_k Y_{lm} \ , \tag{C.18}$$

where the first two have even parity while the last one is odd.

The spherical harmonics $Y^{(+1)}_{lm\,ij}$ and $Y^{(+2)}_{lm\,ij}$ in (C.18) are not orthogonal w.r.t. the inner product (C.7) (harmonics of the opposite parity are obviously orthogonal). To orthogonalize them we notice first that

$$Y^{(+1)}_{ij} + Y^{(+2)}_{ij} \equiv -g_{ij} l(l+1) Y \ . \tag{C.19}$$

Therefore the orthogonal linear combinations are similar to (C.8):

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{(+)}_{lm\,ij} &= g_{ij} Y_{lm} \ , \\ \tilde{Y}^{(+)}_{lm\,ij} &= \nabla_i \nabla_j Y_{lm} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \Box Y_{lm} \equiv \nabla_i \nabla_j Y_{lm} + \frac{l(l+1)}{2} g_{ij} Y_{lm} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.20}$$

In higher dimensions the harmonics $Y^{(+)}_{ij}$, $\tilde{Y}^{(+)}_{ij}$ and $Y^{(-)}_{ij}$ become Y^S_{ij}, $\tilde{Y}^S_{ij}$ and Y^V_{ij}. There is no analog of Y^T_{ij} on $\mathbf{S}^2$.

The actions of Laplace-Beltrami operators on various spherical harmonics are directly obtained from the higher-dimensional formulas (C.10), their divergences from (C.12) and the normalizations from (C.13 - C.15).

References

[1] B. Kol, "Perturbations around backgrounds with one non-homogeneous dimension," arXiv:hep-th/0609001.

[2] B. Kol, "The power of action: 'The' derivation of the black hole negative mode," arXiv:hep-th/0608001.

[3] B. Kol, "The phase transition between caged black holes and black strings: A review," Phys. Rept. **422**, 119 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0411240].

[4] T. Harmark, V. Niarchos and N. A. Obers, "Instabilities of black strings and branes," arXiv:hep-th/0701022.

[5] B. Kol, "Topology change in general relativity and the black-hole black-string transition," JHEP **0510**, 049 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0206220].

[6] T. Regge and J.A. Wheeler, "Stability of a Schwarzschild singularity", *Phys. Rev.* **108** (1957) 1063.

[7] F. J. Zerilli, "Effective Potential For Even Parity Regge-Wheeler Gravitational Perturbation Equations," Phys. Rev. Lett. **24**, 737 (1970).

[8] H. Kodama and A. Ishibashi, "A master equation for gravitational perturbations of maximally symmetric black holes in higher dimensions," Prog. Theor. Phys. **110**, 701 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0305147].

[9] D. Gorbonos and B. Kol, "A dialogue of multipoles: Matched asymptotic expansion for caged black holes," JHEP **0406**, 053 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0406002].

[10] A. Ishibashi and H. Kodama, "Stability of higher-dimensional Schwarzschild black holes," Prog. Theor. Phys. **110**, 901 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0305185].

[11] V. Moncrief, "Gravitational perturbations of spherically symmetric systems. I. The exterior problem," Annals Phys. **88**, 323 (1974).

[12] K. Martel and E. Poisson, "Gravitational perturbations of the Schwarzschild spacetime: A practical covariant and gauge-invariant formalism," Phys. Rev. D **71**, 104003 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0502028].

[13] A. Nagar and L. Rezzolla, "Gauge-invariant non-spherical metric perturbations of Schwarzschild black-hole spacetimes," Class. Quant. Grav. **22**, R167 (2005) [Erratum-ibid. **23**, 4297 (2006)] [arXiv:gr-qc/0502064].

[14] V. Asnin, B. Kol and M. Smolkin, "Analytic evidence for continuous self similarity of the critical merger solution," Class. Quant. Grav. **23**, 6805 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0607129].

[15] B. Kol, "A new action-derived form of the black hole metric," arXiv:gr-qc/0608001.

[16] A. Higuchi, "Symmetric tensor harmonics on the N sphere and their application to the de Sitter group SO(N,1)," J. Math. Phys. **28**, 1553 (1987) [Erratum-ibid. **43**, 6385 (2002)].